

# Auctions

January 7, 2015

# Auctions introduction

- Mechanism for allocating resources between agents
- An auction is a protocol that allows agents (=bidders) to indicate their interests in one or more resources and that uses these indications of interest to determine both an allocation of resources and a set of payments by the agents.

# Why auctions

- Selling an item with unknown value, the value is assessed by the market
- Any object type can be allocated
- Easy automation

# Auction rules

- Bidding rules: How offers are made
  - By whom
  - When
  - Content
- Clearing rules: Who gets which good, what money changes hands
- Information rules: What information is revealed, when and to whom

# Valuation rules

- Common value: the good has the same value to all agents
- Private value: an agent  $A$ 's valuation of the good is independent from other agent's valuation of the good
- Correlated value: valuations of the good are related
- Agent's payoff from participating in an auction

# Agent's payoff

- if winner: item valuation - price paid
- if not: 0

# Auction types

- Single-item auctions
- Multi-item auctions
- Reverse auctions

# Single-item auctions

- English
  - Bidders shout their bids, once bidders stop shouting the highest bidder gets the good (minimum increments)
- Japanese
  - All bidders start standing, the auctioneer shouts ascending prices, bidders who are not willing to pay the announced price sit down
  - Once a bidder sits down, he cannot get back up
  - Last bidder standing gets the good
- Dutch
  - Prices announced with a decreasing clock, first bidder who shouts "mine" gets the good
- First price sealed
  - Bets in sealed envelopes
  - Highest bidder wins and pays his price
- Second price sealed
  - Bets in sealed envelopes
  - Highest bidder wins and pays the second highest price



# Thinking about auctions

- Auctions seem suspiciously like games
- However, so far we have assumed that every player knows what game he is playing (number of players, actions available to players, utilities)
- In auctions this does not hold (unknown valuation of agents)
- Bayesian games
  - A set of games
  - The strategy space and number of players are the same for all games in this set
  - Payoffs differ across these games
  - Agents start with a common prior, telling them probability distribution over games (probability of playing this game)
  - Information sets over games for players (example)

# Thinking about auctions

- How to behave in a given auction?
- How to design an auction?

# Optimal behavior in Auctions

- Dutch/First price
  - Strategically equivalent
  - No dominant strategy, trade-off between probability of winning and amount paid upon winning
  - Individually optimal strategies depend upon assumption about others
- Second price sealed bid
  - Dominant strategy is truth telling
- English and Japanese
  - Complicated strategy space
  - Bids can be conditioned by actions of others (extensive form games)
  - Under independent private values model, it is dominant strategy for bidders to bid up to their valuations (how to bid?)

# Optimal design of auctions

- Seller (designer) gets the money the winner paid (sellers revenue)
- Maximization of sellers revenue

## Revenue equivalence

- Assume that each of  $n$  risk-neutral agents has an independent private valuation for a single good at auction, drawn from a common cumulative distribution  $F(v)$  that is strictly increasing and atomless on  $[v_{min}, v_{max}]$ . Then any auction mechanism in which the good will be allocated to the agent with the highest valuation and any agent with valuation  $v_{min}$  has an expected utility of zero yields the same expected revenue, and hence results in any bidder with valuation  $v$  making the same expected payment.

## Example

- Consider a second-price, sealed-bid auction with two bidders who have independent, private values  $v_i$  which are either 1 or 3. For each bidder, the probabilities of 1 and 3 are both  $\frac{1}{2}$ 
  - What is the seller's expected revenue?
  - Now let's suppose that there are three bidders who have independent, private values  $v_i$  which are either 1 or 3. For each bidder, the probabilities of 1 and 3 are both  $\frac{1}{2}$ . What is the seller's expected revenue in this case?
  - Briefly explain why changing the number of bidders affects the seller's expected revenue.

## Example

- A seller will run a second-price, sealed-bid auction for an object. There are two bidders,  $a$  and  $b$ , who have independent, private values  $v_i$  which are either 0 or 1. For both bidders the probabilities of  $v_i = 0$  and  $v_i = 1$  are each  $\frac{1}{2}$ . Both bidders understand the auction, but bidder  $b$  sometimes makes a mistake about his value for the object. Half of the time his value is 1 and he is aware that it is 1; the other half of the time his value is 0 but occasionally he mistakenly believes that his value is 1. Let's suppose that when  $b$ 's value is 0 he acts as if it is 1 with probability  $1/2$  and as if it is 0 with probability  $1/2$ . So in effect bidder  $b$  sees value 0 with probability  $1/4$  and value 1 with  $3/4$  probability. Bidder  $a$  never makes mistakes about his value for the object, but he is aware of the mistakes that bidder  $b$  makes. Both bidders bid optimally given their perceptions of the value of the object. Assume that if there is a tie at a bid of  $x$  for the highest bid the winner is selected at random from among the highest bidders and the price is  $x$ .
  - Is bidding his true value still a dominant strategy for bidder  $a$ ?