Ant Colony Optimization & Particle Swarm Optimization

Jiří Kubalík Department of Cybernetics, CTU Prague



http://cw.felk.cvut.cz/doku.php/courses/a4m33bia/start

Outline

- Ant Colony Optimization originally proposed for discrete optimization problems
 - $-ACO_R$ one of the most popular ACO-based algorithms for continuous domains
- **Particle Swarm Optimization** originally proposed for real-valued parameter optimizations
 - PSO for problems with discrete binary variables

Motivation

NP-hard problems – no algorithms that could solve large instances of these algorithms to (guaranteed) optimality

Discrete combinatorial problems

Approximate methods – can find solutions of good quality in reasonable time

- Local search/optimization iteratively improve a complete solution (typically initialized at random) till it reaches some local optimum.
- Construction algorithms build a solution making use of some problem-specific heuristic information.

Ant Colony Optimization (ACO) algorithms – extend traditional construction heuristics with an ability to exploit experience gathered during the optimization process.

Construction Algorithms

Build solutions to a problem under consideration in an incremental way

- starting with an empty initial solution and
- iteratively adding opportunely defined solution components without backtracking
- until a complete solution is obtained.

```
procedure GreedyConstructionHeuristic

s_p = empty_{solution}

while not complete(s_p) do

e = GreedyComponent(s_p)

s_p = s_p \bigotimes e

end

return s_p

end
```



Pros/Cons

- (+) fast, solutions of reasonable quality
- (-) solution may be far from optimum; generate only limited number of different solutions; decisions made at early stages reduce a set of possible steps at latter stages

Inspired by behavior of real ants living in an ant colony

- Social insects behave towards survival of the colony
- Simple individual behavior × complex behavior of a colony

Ability to find the shortest path from the colony to the source of food and back using an **indirect communication via pheromone**

- Write ants lay down pheromone on their way to food
- Read ant detects pheromone (can sense different intensity) laid down by other ants and can choose a direction of the highest concentration of pheromone.
- **Emergence** this simple behavior applied by the whole colony can lead to emergence of the shortest path.

Nest separated from food with a double-bridge

- Both path of the same length
- At the beginning there is no pheromone
- After some time one of the alternatives gets dominant due to random fluctuations



Bridges with Different Branches

Influence of random fluctuations is significantly reduced and majority of ants go for the shorter path in the end.



In each step 30 new ants go from A to B, and 30 ants from E to D

- All ants go with the same speed 1 s⁻¹
- Each ant deposits down 1 unit of pheromone per 1 time unit



Stigmergy – two individuals interact indirectly when one of them modifies the environment and the other responds to the new environment at a later time.

- **Physically** by depositing a pheromone the ants modify the place they have visited.
- Locality of information pheromone is "visible" only to ants that are in its close vicinity.
- Autocatalytic behavior the more ants follow a trail, the more attractive that trail becomes for being followed.

The process is thus characterized by a **positive feedback loop**, where the probability of a discrete path choice increases with the number of times the same path was chosen before.

Pheromone evaporation – realizes forgetting, which prevents premature convergence to suboptimal solutions.

Real Ants Summary

- Almost blind
- Incapable of achieving complex tasks alone
- Capable of establishing shortest-route paths from their colony to feeding sources and back
- Use *stigmergic* communication via pheromone trails
- Follow existing pheromone trails with high probability

Artificial Ants

Similarity with real ants:

- Colony of cooperating ants
- Pheromone trail and stigmergy
- Probabilistic decision making, locality of the strategy
 - Prior information given by the problem specification
 - Local modification of states, induced by preceding ants

Differences from real ants:

- Discrete world
- Inner states personal memory with already performed actions
- Ants are not completely blind
- Amount of deposited pheromone is a function of the quality of the solution
- Problem dependent timing of depositing the pheromone
- Extras local optimization, backtracking

ACO can be applied to any discrete optimization problem for which some solution construction mechanism can be conceived.

Artificial ants are stochastic solution construction heuristics that probabilistically build a solution by iteratively adding solution components to partial solutions by taking into account

- **heuristic information** on the problem instance being solved, if available,
- (artificial) pheromone trails which change dynamically at run-time to reflect the agents' acquired search experience.

Stochastic component allows generating a large number of different solutions.

Steps for implementing ACO:

- Choose appropriate graph representation
- Define positive feedback
- Choose constructive heuristic
- Choose a model for constraint handling (tabu list at TSP)

Problem: Given n cities, the goal is to find the shortest path going through all cities and visiting each exactly once.

- Considers complete graph.
- d_{ij} is Euclidean distance from city i to city j

Definition

- $\hfill m$ is the number of ants
- $au_{ij}(t)$ is the intensity of pheromone on the link (i,j) in time t
- η_{ij} is visibility (heuristic information) expressed by $1/d_{ij}$
- $(1-\rho)$ evaporation factor, (ρ) is constant through the whole opt. process
- $tabu_k$ is dynamically growing vector of cities that have already been visited by k-th ant
- AS iteration each ant adds one city to the constructed route
- AS cycle composed of n iterations during which all ants complete their routes

AS: Pheromone Deposition

1.
$$\Delta \tau_{ij}^k = \begin{cases} Q/L_k & \text{, if } k\text{-th ant used the edge } (i,j) \\ 0 & \text{, otherwise} \end{cases}$$

2. $\Delta \tau_{ij} = \sum_k \Delta \tau_{ij}^k$
3. $\tau_{ij}(t+n) = \rho \cdot \tau_{ij}(t) + \Delta \tau_{ij}$

where

- $\Delta \tau_{ij}^k$ is the amount of pheromone deposited on the edge (i, j) by k-th ant within a time interval (t, t + n)
- Q is a constant
- L_k is the length of the route constructed by k-th ant
- ρ must be smaller than 1, otherwise the pheromone would accumulate unboundedly (recommended is 0.5)
- $au_{ij}(0)$ is set to small positive values

AS: Probabilistic Decision Making

Probability of adding a link (i, j) (where $j \in \{N - tabu_k\}$) into the route

$$p_{ij}^k = \begin{cases} [\tau_{ij}(t)]^{\alpha} \cdot [\eta_{ij}]^{\beta} / \sum_l [\tau_{il}(t)]^{\alpha} \cdot [\eta_{il}]^{\beta} & \text{, if } j \in \{N - tabu_k\} \\ 0 & \text{, otherwise} \end{cases}$$

where

- $\bullet \ l \in \{N tabu_k\}$
- α , β define relative importance of the pheromone and the visibility

Probability is a compromise between

- visibility that prefers closer cities to more distant ones and
- intensity of pheromone that prefers more frequently used edges.

AS: Cycle

Ant-cycle:

- 1. Initialization
 - time: t = 0
 - number of cycles: NC = 0
 - pheromone: $\tau_{ij} = c$
 - $\hfill \ensuremath{\,\bullet\)}$ Initial positioning of m ants to n cities
- 2. Initialization of tabu lists
- 3. Ants' action
 - Each ant iteratively builds its route
 - Calculate length of the routes L_k for all ants $k \in (1, \ldots, m)$
 - Update the shortest route found
 - Calculate $\Delta \tau^k_{ij}$ and update $\tau_{ij}(t+n)$
- 4. Increment discrete time
 - t = t + n, NC = NC + 1
- 5. If $(NC < NC_{max})$ then goto step 2 else stop.

AS: Elitism

Intensity of pheromone is strengthened on edges that lie on the shortest path out of all generated paths

• Amount of added pheromone: $e \cdot Q/L^*$,

where e is a number of *elite* ants and L^* is the shortest path

Beware of premature convergence!

AS: Evolution of Solution for 10 Cities

After greedily searching the space it is desirable to adapt global information stored in $\tau_{ij}(t)$ (it is necessary to partially forget)



Stagnation – branching factor is 2, all ants go the same way.

Static problems

- Traveling salesman problem
- Quadratic assignment problem
- Job-shop scheduling problem
- Vehicle routing problem
- Shortest common supersequence problem

Dynamic problems

Network routing

Idea: Instead of using a discrete probability distribution to make a probabilistic choice of the new solution component at each construction step, a **continuous probability density function** (PDF) is used to choose a value for variable X_i at construction step i, for i = 1, ..., n.



ACO_R: Gaussian Kernel PDF

Gaussian kernel – an estimation of multimodal one-dimensional PDF.



Reasonably easy way of sampling using

- a random number generator that is able to generate random numbers according to a parameterized normal distribution;
- a uniform random generator in conjunction with, for instance, the Box–Muller method.

ACO_R: Gaussian Kernel PDF

Gaussian kernel for a variable *i*, $G^{i}(x)$, as a weighted sum of *k* one-dimensional Gaussian functions $g_{l}^{i}(x)$.

$$G^{i}(x) = \sum_{l=1}^{k} \omega_{l} g^{i}_{l}(x) = \sum_{l=1}^{k} \omega_{l} \frac{1}{\sigma^{i}_{l} \sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(x-\mu^{i}_{l})^{2}}{2\sigma^{i}_{l}^{2}}}$$

Parameter vectors

- ω vector of weights,
- μ^{i} vector of means,
- σ^{i} vector of standard deviations

are calculated from k solutions kept in **solution archive**, which represents the *pheromone model*.

Solutions in the archive are sorted according to their rank (solution s_l has rank l).



Means – the values of the *i*th variable of all the solutions in the archive the elements of the vector
 µⁱ.

$$\mu^{i} = \{\mu_{1}^{i}, \dots, \mu_{k}^{i}\} = \{s_{1}^{i}, \dots, s_{k}^{i}\}$$

• Weights – are calculated using a Gaussian function

$$\omega_l = \frac{1}{qk\sqrt{2\pi}} e^{-\frac{(l-1)^2}{2q^2k^2}}$$

with argument l, mean 1.0 and standard deviation qk, where q is a parameter of the algorithm.

- Small $q \rightarrow$ the best-ranked solutions are strongly preferred.
- Large $q \rightarrow$ more uniform weights.
- Standard deviations for a particular Gaussian function g_l^i , the standard deviation s_l^i is calculated as the average distance from the chosen solution s_l to other solutions in the archive

$$\sigma_l^i = \xi \sum_{e=1}^k \frac{s_e^i - s_l^i}{k - 1}$$

The parameter ξ realizes the *pheromone evaporation* – the higher the value of ξ , the less biased is the search towards the solutions stored in the archive.

Each new solution is generated in n construction steps.

Construction step *i*:

• Select one Gaussian function g_l^i with probability proportional to its weight.

$$p_l = \frac{\omega_l}{\sum_{r=1}^k \omega_r}$$

• Sample the chosen Gaussian function g_l^i .

ACO_R : Algorithm Outline

Input: k, m, n, q, ξ Output: The best solution found

```
initialize and evaluate k solutions s_1, \ldots, s_k
// sort the solutions and store them in the Archive
Archive = Sort(s_1, \ldots, s_k)
while (termination condition is not reached) do
    // Generate m new solutions
    for l = 1 to m do
        // construct solution
        for i = 1 to D do
             Select Gaussian g_{j}^{i} according to weights
             Sample Gaussian g^i_i with parameters \mu^i_i, \sigma^i_i
        end for
        Store and evaluate newly generated solution
    end for
    // Sort solutions and store the best k
    Archive = Best(Sort(s_1, \ldots, s_{k+m}), k)
end while
```

Particle Swarm Optimization

Inspired by biological and sociological motivations

- Bird flocks
- Fish schools
- Swarms of insects







Population-based optimization technique – originally designed for solving real-valued function optimizations.

- Applicable for optimizations in rough, discontinuous and multimodal surfaces.
- Suitable for black-box optimizations does not require any gradient information of the function to be optimized.
- Conceptually very simple.

Each candidate solution of continuous optimization problem, called a **particle**, is described (encoded) by a real vector N-dimensional search space: $\mathbf{x} = x_1, \ldots, x_n$.

A population of particles, called a **swarm**, is evolved in an iterative process.

A **neighborhood** relation N is defined in the swarm that determines for any two particles P_i and P_j whether they are neighbors or not. Different neighborhood topologies can have different effect on the swarm performance. Often, the whole search space is used as the neighborhood for each particle.

The **particles** change their components and **fly** through the multi-dimensional search space while **interacting** to each other.

Particles calculate their fitness value as the quality of their actual position in the search space w.r.t. the optimized function.

Particles also compare themselves to their neighbors and imitate the best of that neighbors.

PSO: Particle's Position and Velocity

Swarm of particles is flying through the parameter space and searching for the optimum.

Each particle is characterized by

- **Position** vector $x_i(t)$
- Velocity vector $v_i(t)$



swarm flying over search space i

Update of the *i*-th particle velocity:

$$v_i(t+1) = \omega v_i(t) + C_1 \varphi_1(pbest_i(t) - x_i(t)) + C_2 \varphi_2(gbest(t) - x_i(t))$$

where

- $pbest_i(t)$ personal best experience; the best value of the fitness function found by the *i*-th particle up to time *t*.
- gbest(t) global best experience; the best pbest_j(t) value of all particles in the neighborhood of i (i.e. j ∈ N(i)) or the best value out of pbest_j(t) values of all particles in the swarm found up to time t.
- ω inertial vector.
- φ_1 and φ_2 uniformly distributed random numbers that determine the influence of $pbest_i(t)$ and gbest(t).
- C_1 particle's self-confidence; controls the contribution towards the self-exploration.
- C_2 swarm confidence; controls the contribution towards the global direction.

PSO: Velocity Update

Update of the *i*-th particle velocity:

$$v_i(t+1) = \omega v_i(t) + C_1 \varphi_1(pbest_i(t) - x_i(t)) + C_2 \varphi_2(gbest(t) - x_i(t))$$



PSO: Position Update

Update of the *i*-th particle position:



Input: Number of particles in the swarm, swarmSize. Typical values are between 20-60. Output: Position of the approximate global optimum X^*

```
begin t = 0
Randomly initialize position and velocity of particles: \mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{i}}(0) and \mathbf{V}_{\mathbf{i}}(0)
while (termination condition is not reached) do
begin
t = t + 1
calculate fitness f(\mathbf{X}_{\mathbf{i}}) of particles in the swarm
update pbest_{i}(t) of particles
update gbest(t) value observed so far in the swarm
adapt velocity of all particles
adapt position of all particles
end
```

begin

Static parameter setting

- $\omega \ll 1$ only little momentum is preserved from the previous time-step.
 - $\omega = 0$ the particle moves in each step totally ignoring information about the past velocity.
- $\omega > 1$ particles can hardly change their direction which implies a reluctance against convergence towards optimum.
 - $\omega > 1$ is always used with V_{max} to avoid *swarm explosion*.

Dynamic parameter setting – annealing scheme; ω decreases linearly with time from $\omega = 0.9$ to $\omega = 0.4$.

- Globally explores the search space in the beginning of the run.
- Performs local search in the end.

Static setting – usually $C_1 = C_2$ and range within (0, 4), for example $C_1 = C_2 = 1.494$.

Dynamic setting – coefficients vary with time according to

$$C_1 = (C_{1f} - C_{1i})\frac{i}{MAXITER} + C_{li}$$
$$C_2 = (C_{2f} - C_{2i})\frac{i}{MAXITER} + C_{2i}$$

- where C_{1f} and C_{2f} are final values for C₁ and C₂,
 C_{1i} and C_{2i} are current values at iteration i, and MAXITER is the maximum number of iterations.
- Particular scheme: C_1 decreases from 2.5 to 0.5; C_2 increases from 0.5 to 2.5.
- Effect: Global search during the early phase of the optimization process; convergence to global optimum at the final stage of the optimization process.

Discrete Binary Particle Optimization Swarm Algorithm

Now, the optimization domain are functions of D binary variables, thus the solution is a binary vector $\mathbf{X} = \{0, 1\}^{D}$.

Particle characteristics

Velocity – each particle *i* has its velocities, v_{id}, representing probabilities of having variable d set to 1.

Ex.: $v_{id} = 0.2$ means that there is a twenty percent chance that the *i*-th particle will have its *d*-th variable set to one (80% chance it will be a zero).

• **Position** – a particular vector of binary values, x_{id} .

The values are sampled from the the vector of particle's velocities when the particle is evaluated.

Ephemeral position – a particle might have a different actual position at every generation.

Velocity update: $v_{id} = v_{id} + \varphi_1(p_{id} - x_{id}) + \varphi_2(p_{gd} - x_{id})$

- x_{id} , p_{id} and p_{gd} are integers in $\{0, 1\}$.
- Since v_{id} is a probability, a logistic transformation $S(v_{id})$ is used to constrain its values within the interval [0.0, 1.0].

As v_{id} grows, the function $S(v_{id})$ approaches a one, thus the "position" of the particle fixes more probably on the value 1, with less chance of change.

• Parameter V_{max} is used to control the ultimate mutation rate of the bit vector; $|v_{id}| < V_{max}$ for all dimensions $d \in \{1, \dots, D\}$.

Ex.: If $V_{max} = 6.0$, then probabilities will be limited to $0.0025 \le S(v_{id}) \le 0.9975$. Thus exploration is ensured to some extent even after the population (swarm) has converged w.r.t. velocities.

The smaller V_{max} , the higher mutation rate, and vice versa.

Position update:

$$x_{id} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} 1 \ \text{, if } rand() < S(v_{id}) \\ 0 \ \text{, otherwise} \end{array} \right.$$

- Branke J.: Memory Enhanced Evolutionary Algorithms for Changing Optimization Problems, 1999 http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.2.8897
- Branke J.: Evolutionary Approaches to Dynamic Optimization Problems A Survey, 2001 http://citeseerx.ist.psu.edu/viewdoc/summary?doi=10.1.1.10.4619
- Yang S.: Genetic Algorithms with Memory- and Elitism-Based Immigrants in Dynamic Environments. Evolutionary Computation, Vol. 16, No. 3, pp. 385-416, 2008.
- ECiDUE: Evolutionary Computation in Dynamic and Uncertain Environments http://www.cs.le.ac.uk/people/sy11/ECiDUE/