

1 Lecture

1.1 State

- Purely functional languages (and math) are stateless.
- Stateful computation can be represented as an iteration over states.

$$\begin{aligned} \text{max} : N^* &\rightarrow N \\ \text{max}(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle) &= \text{loop}(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, 1, 0) \end{aligned} \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{loop} : N^* \times N \times N &\rightarrow N \\ \text{loop}(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c, m) &= m \quad \text{if } c > n \\ \text{loop}(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c, m) &= \text{loop}(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c + 1, m) \quad \text{if } c \leq n \wedge a_c \leq m \\ \text{loop}(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c, m) &= \text{loop}(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c + 1, a_c) \quad \text{otherwise} \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

- Monadic style separates state-handling code.

$$\text{State} = N^* \times N \times N \quad (3)$$

$$\text{Action} = \text{State} \rightarrow \text{State} \quad (4)$$

$$\text{Condition} = \text{State} \rightarrow \text{Boolean} \quad (5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{updateMax} : \text{Action} \\ \text{updateMax}(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c, m) &= (\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c, a_c) \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{updateNeeded} : \text{Condition} \\ \text{updateNeeded}(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c, m) &= \text{true} \quad \text{if } a_c > m \\ \text{updateNeeded}(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c, m) &= \text{false} \quad \text{otherwise} \end{aligned} \quad (7)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{increaseIndex} : \text{Action} \\ \text{increaseIndex}(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c, m) &= (\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c + 1, m) \end{aligned} \quad (8)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{finished} : \text{Condition} \\ \text{finished}(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c, m) &= \text{true} \quad \text{if } c > n \\ \text{finished}(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, c, m) &= \text{false} \quad \text{otherwise} \end{aligned} \quad (9)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{ifStatement} : \text{Condition} \times \text{Action} &\rightarrow \text{Action} \\ \text{ifStatement}(\text{cond}, \text{body}) &= \lambda s. \text{body}(s) \quad \text{if } \text{cond}(s) = \text{true} \\ \text{ifStatement}(\text{cond}, \text{body}) &= \lambda s. s \quad \text{otherwise} \end{aligned} \quad (10)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{forLoop} : \text{Condition} \times \text{Action} \times \text{Action} \rightarrow \text{Action} \\
 & \text{forLoop}(\text{cond}, \text{iter}, \text{body}) = \lambda s. \text{ifStatement}(\text{cond}, \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{forLoop}(\text{cond}, \text{iter}, \text{body})(\text{iter}(\text{body}(s))))
 \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \text{max} : N^* \rightarrow N \\
 & \text{max}(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle) = \pi_3(\text{forLoop}(\text{finished}, \text{increaseIndex}, \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad \text{ifStatement}(\text{updateNeeded}, \text{updateMax})) \\
 & \qquad \qquad \qquad (\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle, 1, 0))
 \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

- A program fragment is said to be *referentially transparent* if it has the same meaning regardless of its context.

1.2 Naming

- Parameter passing: call-by-name, call-by-value, call-by-denotation.
- Static scope.

```

function f(int a) {
    function g(int b) {
        return a + b;
    }
    return a + g(3);
}

```

- Multiple namespaces.

```

class X {
    int x;

    X(int x) {
        this.x = x;
    }

    int x() { return x; }
}

```

- Dynamic scope.

2 Seminar

1. Define function $\text{switch} : (\text{State} \rightarrow N) \times (N \rightarrow \text{Action}) \rightarrow \text{Action}$.

$$\text{switch}(\text{expr}, \text{bodies}) = \lambda s. \text{bodies}(\text{expr}(s))(s) \quad (13)$$

2. Prove correctness of the *max* function.

Formally, we need to prove that

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \in N^* : \text{max}(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle) \in \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \wedge \\ \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} : a_i \leq \text{max}(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Proof: by induction over the length of the input sequence. The inductive step needs to prove the following:

$$\begin{aligned} \forall \langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle \in N^* : \text{max}(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle) \in \{a_1, \dots, a_n\} \wedge \\ \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n\} : a_i \leq \text{max}(\langle a_1, \dots, a_n \rangle) \\ \Downarrow \\ \forall \langle b_1, \dots, b_{n+1} \rangle \in N^* : \text{max}(\langle b_1, \dots, b_{n+1} \rangle) \in \{b_1, \dots, b_{n+1}\} \wedge \\ \forall i \in \{1, \dots, n+1\} : b_i \leq \text{max}(\langle b_1, \dots, b_{n+1} \rangle). \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

3. Define the denotational semantics of an imperative language with the following syntax:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Expr} ::= & \text{Num} \mid \\ & \text{Bool} \mid \\ & \Delta \text{Expr} \mid \\ & \text{Expr} \odot \text{Expr} \mid \\ & ! \text{VarName} \\ \text{Statement} ::= & \text{VarName} = \text{Expr} \\ \text{Program} ::= & \text{Statement}; \text{Program} \mid \\ & \epsilon \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

$$\llbracket n \rrbracket = \lambda env. n \quad (17)$$

$$\llbracket b \rrbracket = \lambda env. b \quad (18)$$

$$\llbracket \Delta \rrbracket = \lambda e. \lambda env. - e(env) \quad (19)$$

$$\llbracket \odot \rrbracket = \lambda e_1, e_2. \lambda env. e_1(env) + e_2(env) \quad (20)$$

$$\llbracket v \rrbracket = v \quad (21)$$

$$\llbracket ! \rrbracket = \lambda v. \lambda env. env(v) \quad (22)$$

$$\llbracket = \rrbracket = \lambda v, e. \lambda env. env[v \mapsto e(env)] \quad (23)$$

$$\llbracket ; \rrbracket = \lambda s, p. \lambda env. p(s(env)) \quad (24)$$

$$\llbracket \epsilon \rrbracket = \lambda env. env \quad (25)$$