



Architecture of software systems

Course 7: Threads, synchronization, atomic operations, non-blocking algorithms

David Šišlák

david.sislak@fel.cvut.cz



» **processes vs. threads**

- » both support concurrent execution
 - » one process has one or multiple threads
 - » threads share the same address space (data and code)
 - » context switching between threads is usually less expensive
 - » thread inter-communication is relatively efficient
- » a thread executes sequence of code with own stack with frames
- `t.printStackTrace()`
- » own local variables
 - » own method parameters
- » thread creation by
- » subclass of **java.lang.Thread**
 - » implementation of **java.lang.Runnable**



- » Thread.currentThread()

- » each thread has
 - » id – unique long id, only get
 - » name - get/set
 - » priority – get/set
 - » Thread.MIN_PRIORITY (1), NORM_PRIORITY (5), MAX_PRIORITY (10)
 - » thread group – get/set
 - » uncaught exception handler – get/set + get/setDefaultExceptionHandler
 - » UncaughtExceptionHandler
 - » daemon flag – is/set
 - » context class loader – get/set, used to load classes and resources inside
 - » interrupted – interrupt(), isInterrupted(), static interrupted()
 - » InterruptedException
 - » status – see next slide



- » thread states - `t.getState()`
 - » **new**
 - » after creation
 - » **runnable**
 - » `start()`
 - » **blocked**
 - » waiting for a lock, (re)enter synchronized method/block
 - » **waiting** (can be interrupted)
 - » `o.wait()`, `t.join()`, `LockSupport.park()`
 - » **timed waiting** (can be interrupted)
 - » `Thread.sleep(x)`, `o.wait(x)`, `t.join(x)`
 - » `LockSupport.parkNanos(x)`, `LockSupport.parkUntil(time)`
 - » **terminated**
 - » finished `t.run()` method, `Runtime.exit()`, `t.stop()`
- » `Thread.yield()` – allow other threads to execute (nonpreemptive OS)



```
class RunnableThread implements Runnable {  
  
    Thread runner;  
    ...  
  
    public RunnableThread(String threadName) {  
        runner = new Thread(this, threadName);  
        runner.start();  
    }  
  
    public void run() {  
        System.out.println(Thread.currentThread());  
        ...  
    }  
  
}
```



```
class XThread extends Thread {
    ...

    XThread(String threadName) {
        super(threadName);
        start();
    }

    public void run() {
        System.out.println(Thread.currentThread().getName());
        ...
    }
}
```



- » concept of thread pooling since 1.5
- » suitable for execution of large number of asynchronous tasks
 - e.g. HTTP requests in server
- » reduce overhead with Thread creation for each task, context switching
- » interface - `java.util.concurrent.ExecutorService`
 - `shutdown()`, `shutdownNow()`, `awaitTermination`
 - `execute(Runnable r)`
 - `Future<?> submit(Runnable r)`, `Future<T> submit(Callable<T> c)`
- » `java.util.concurrent.Future<T>`
 - `boolean cancel(boolean mayInterruptIfRunning)`
 - `isCancelled()`, `isDone()`
 - `V get()`, `V get(long timeout, TimeUnit unit)`
- » `java.util.concurrent.Executors` (optionally with `ThreadFactory`)
 - `newSingleThreadExecutor()`
 - `newFixedThreadPool(nThreads)`
 - `newCachedThreadPool()` – default 60 seconds keep-alive



Thread 1	Thread 2
r2 = A; B = 1;	r1 = B; A = 2;

- » r1 and r2 are local variables
- » A and B are shared variables (heap located) initially set to 0
- » what can be the results for r1 and r2?



Thread 1	Thread 2
r2 = A; B = 1;	r1 = B; A = 2;

- » r1 and r2 are local variables
- » A and B are shared variables (heap located) initially set to 0
- » what can be the results for r1 and r2?
 - r1=0, r2=0
 - r1=1, r2=0
 - r1=0, r2=2
 - anything else?



- » each object is associated with *monitor*
- » **synchronized** is implemented using *monitors*

```
public class Test {  
    private static int vari = 0;  
    // ...  
  
    public static synchronized void method1() {  
        // ...  
        vari++;  
    }  
  
    public synchronized void method2() {  
        // ...  
        vari++;  
    }  
  
    // ...  
}
```

- » is this correct?



- » each object is associated with *monitor*
- » **synchronized** is implemented using *monitors*

```
public class Test {  
    private static int var1 = 0;  
    // ...  
  
    public static synchronized void method1() {  
        // ...  
        var1++;  
    }  
  
    public void method2() {  
        synchronized (Test.class) {  
            // ...  
            var1++;  
        }  
    }  
  
    // ...  
}
```



- » `java.util.concurrent.locks.ReentrantLock` since 1.5
- » extended operations in comparison to **synchronized**:
 - `lock()`, `unlock()`
 - `lockInterruptibly()` throws `InterruptedException`
 - `boolean tryLock()`
 - `boolean tryLock(long timeout, TimeUnit unit)` throws `InterruptedException`
- » fairness
 - **new** `ReentrantLock(boolean fair)`, by default unfair
 - **synchronized** is unfair !
 - fair locks are slower !

Synchronized VS. reentrant lock



```
public class Test {  
    // ...  
  
    public void method1() {  
        // ...  
        synchronized (this) {  
            // ...  
        }  
        // ...  
    }  
  
    // ...  
}
```



```
public class Test {  
    private ReentrantLock lock = new ReentrantLock();  
    // ...  
  
    public void method1() {  
        // ...  
        lock.lock();  
        // ...  
        lock.unlock();  
        // ...  
    }  
  
    // ...  
}
```

» is this correct transformation?

Synchronized VS. reentrant lock



```
public class Test {  
    // ...  
  
    public void method1() {  
        // ...  
        synchronized (this) {  
            // ...  
        }  
        // ...  
    }  
  
    // ...  
}
```



```
public class Test {  
    private ReentrantLock lock = new ReentrantLock();  
    // ...  
  
    public void method1() {  
        // ...  
        lock.lock();  
        try {  
            // ...  
        } finally {  
            lock.unlock();  
        }  
        // ...  
    }  
  
    // ...  
}
```

- » is this correct transformation?
 - » **NO** – need catch exceptions

Reentrant lock performance – test example



```
public class HighContentionSimulator implements Runnable {
    enum Mode implements Runnable {
        LOCK {
            private final Lock lock = new ReentrantLock();
            public void run() {
                lock.lock();
                try {
                    operation();
                } finally {
                    lock.unlock();
                }
            }
        },
        SYNC {
            public synchronized void run() {
                operation();
            }
        }
    }

    private final Mode mode;
    private final int count;

    public HighContentionSimulator(Mode mode, int count) {
        this.mode = mode;
        this.count = count;
    }

    public void run() {
        for (int i = 0; i < count; i++)
            mode.run();
    }

    public static void operation() {
        @SuppressWarnings("unused")
        Double d = Math.random();
    }
}
```

Reentrant lock performance – test example cont.



```
private static void test(Mode mode) throws InterruptedException {
    int threadNumber = 8;
    int count = 1000 * 1000;
    long start = System.nanoTime();
    Thread[] threads = new Thread[threadNumber];
    for (int i = 0; i < threadNumber; i++)
        (threads[i] = new Thread(new HighContentionSimulator(mode, count))).start();
    for (int i = 0; i < threadNumber; i++)
        threads[i].join();
    long rate = 1000L * 1000 * 1000 * count * threadNumber / (System.nanoTime() - start);
    System.out.printf("%s operations/second %,d%n", mode.toString(), rate);
}

public static void main(String[] args) throws InterruptedException {
    for (int i = 0; i < 3; i++) {
        test(Mode.LOCK);
        test(Mode.SYNC);
    }
}
```




» Java on 2x Intel Xeon E5420 2.5GHz (8 cores in total)

» results for 8 threads:

LOCK operations/second 3 499 925

SYNC operations/second 1 104 862

LOCK operations/second 3 478 742

SYNC operations/second 1 149 406

LOCK operations/second 3 500 417

SYNC operations/second 1 121 584

» but ReentrantLock is standard object on heap

Deadlock - example



```
public class Test {
    private static class Resource {
        private Resource() {
            // ...
        }

        private synchronized void directAccess() {
            // ...
        }

        private synchronized void accessWithSubResource(Resource subResource) {
            // ...
            subResource.directAccess();
        }
    }

    public static void main(String[] args) {
        final Resource resource1 = new Resource();
        final Resource resource2 = new Resource();

        new Thread(new Runnable() {
            @Override
            public void run() { resource1.accessWithSubResource(resource2); }
        }).start();
        new Thread(new Runnable() {
            @Override
            public void run() { resource2.accessWithSubResource(resource1); }
        }).start();
    }
}
```

Thread run control – synchronized VS reentrant lock



```
public class Test {
    // ...

    public void method1() {
        // ...
        synchronized (this) {
            // ...
            try {
                wait();
            } catch (InterruptedException e) {
                // ...
            }
            // ...
        }
    }

    public void method2() {
        // ...
        synchronized (this) {
            // ...
            notify();
            // ...
        }
    }
    // ...
}
```



```
public class Test {
    private ReentrantLock lock = new ReentrantLock();
    private Condition condition = lock.newCondition();
    // ...

    public void method1() {
        // ...
        lock.lock();
        try {
            // ...
            try {
                condition.await();
            } catch (InterruptedException e) {
                // ...
            }
            // ...
        } finally {
            lock.unlock();
        }
    }

    public void method2() {
        // ...
        lock.lock();
        try {
            // ...
            condition.signal();
            // ...
        } finally {
            lock.unlock();
        }
    }
    // ...
}
```



```
public class StoppableTask extends Thread {
    private boolean pleaseStop;

    public void run() {
        while (!pleaseStop) {
            // ...
        }
    }

    public void tellMeToStop() {
        pleaseStop = true;
    }
}
```

» is this correct?



```
public class StoppableTask extends Thread {
    private volatile boolean pleaseStop;

    public void run() {
        while (!pleaseStop) {
            // ...
        }
    }

    public void tellMeToStop() {
        pleaseStop = true;
    }
}
```

- » is this correct?
 - » **NO** – missing volatile



- » **never cached thread-locally** – all access directly to main memory
- » guarantees **atomic read and write** operations (using *memory barrier*)
- » can be used for both primitives and objects (references)
- » don't block thread execution

```
public class Counter {
    private volatile int i = 0;

    public int get() {
        return i;
    }

    public void increment() {
        i++;
    }
}
```

Volatile variable



- » never cached thread-locally – all access directly to main memory
- » guarantees atomic read and write operations (using memory barrier)
- » can be used for both primitives and objects (references)
- » don't block thread execution

```
public class Counter {  
    private volatile int i = 0;  
  
    public int get() {  
        return i;  
    }  
  
    public void increment() {  
        i++;  
    }  
}
```



```
public void increment() {  
    int temp;  
    synchronized (iAccessLock) {  
        temp = i;  
    }  
    temp = temp + 1;  
    synchronized (iAccessLock) {  
        i = temp;  
    }  
}
```



- » never cached thread-locally – all access directly to main memory
- » guarantees atomic read and write operations (using memory barrier)
- » can be used for both primitives and objects (references)
- » don't block thread execution
- » useful for one-thread write
- » **not suitable for read-update-write operations**

```
public class Counter {  
    private volatile int i = 0;  
  
    public int get() {  
        return i;  
    }  
  
    public void increment() {  
        i++;  
    }  
}
```



```
public void increment() {  
    int temp;  
    synchronized (iAccessLock) {  
        temp = i;  
    }  
    temp = temp + 1;  
    synchronized (iAccessLock) {  
        i = temp;  
    }  
}
```

- » not necessary for:
 - immutable objects
 - variable accessed by only one thread
 - where variable is within complex synchronized operation



```
volatile int f = 0;
volatile int x = 0;


public int reader() {
    while (f == 0);
    return x;
}

public void writer(int value) {
    x = 42;
    f = 1;
}
```

» what value is returned while calling reader()?



- » memory changes made by one CPU can be propagated back to main memory out-of-order



```
volatile int f = 0;
volatile int x = 0;


public int reader() {
    while (f == 0);
    return x;
}

public void writer(int value) {
    x = 42;
    f = 1;
}
```

- » **correct behavior** since JAVA 1.5 where memory barrier is used for volatile
 - usage of specific CPU instruction to guarantee it



- » memory changes made by one CPU can be propagated back to main memory out-of-order



```
volatile int f = 0;
volatile int x = 0;

public int reader() {
    while (f == 0);
    return x;
}

public void writer(int value) {
    x = 42;
    f = 1;
}
```

- » **correct behavior** since JAVA 1.5 where memory barrier is used for volatile
 - usage of specific CPU instruction to guarantee it
- » but what for multi-thread write and read-update-write operations ?
 - synchronization / reentrant locks
 - atomic operations



- » specific CPU instruction – **CMPXCHG** compare-and-exchange or **CAS** (compare-and-swap)
- » modern 64-bit processors support 128-bit CAS operations
- » JAVA 5.0 utilizes 64-bit version in `java.util.concurrent.atomic`:
 - `AtomicBoolean`
 - `AtomicInteger`
 - `AtomicLong`
 - `AtomicReference`
- » basic operations in `AtomicInteger`:
 - `int get()`, `set(int value)`, `boolean compareAndSet(int expect, int update)`
 - `int addAndGet(int delta)`
 - `int incrementAndGet()`, `int decrementAndGet()`



```
public class Counter {  
    private final AtomicInteger i = new AtomicInteger(0);  
  
    public int get() {  
        return i.get();  
    }  
  
    public void increment() {  
        i.incrementAndGet();  
    }  
}
```

» how is the atomic incrementAndGet implemented using CAS instruction?

AtomicInteger – implementation



```
private volatile int value;

public final int get() {
    return value;
}

public final void set(int newValue) {
    value = newValue;
}

public final boolean compareAndSet(int expect, int update) {
    return unsafe.compareAndSwapInt(this, valueOffset, expect, update);
}

public final int incrementAndGet() {
    for (;;) {
        int current = get();
        int next = current + 1;
        if (compareAndSet(current, next))
            return next;
    }
}
```



```
volatile int arr[] = new int[SIZE];

public void method1() {
    int x = arr[0];
    arr[0] = 1;
}
```

» is this correct?



```
volatile int arr[] = new int[SIZE];

public void method1() {
    int x = arr[0];
    arr[0] = 1;
}
```

» atomic array versions:

- AtomicIntegerArray
- AtomicLongArray
- AtomicReferenceArray

» basic operations for AtomicIntegerArray:

- `int get(int i), set(int i, int newValue)`-*provides volatile access to member*
- `boolean compareAndSet(int i, int expectedValue, int newValue)`
- `int incrementAndGet(int i), int decrementAndGet(int i)`



```
volatile int arr[] = new int[SIZE];

public void method1() {
    int x = arr[0];
    arr[0] = 1;
}
```



```
volatile int arr[] = new int[SIZE];

public void method1() {
    arr[0] = 1;
    arr = arr;
}
```

- » do not require wrapper object
- » but slightly inefficient due to another read-write operation
- » **do not support read-update-write** operations



- » suitable with large number of object of the given type – it saves memory
 - don't require single instance to have an extra object embedded
- » refer variable “normally” without getter and setters

```
public class ObjectWithAtomic {
    private final AtomicInteger value =
        new AtomicInteger(0);
    // ...

    public void method1() {
        // ...
        if (value.compareAndSet(1, 2)) {
            // ...
        }
    }
}
```



```
public class ObjectWithAtomic {
    private static AtomicIntegerFieldUpdater<ObjectWithAtomic>
        valueUpdater = AtomicIntegerFieldUpdater.newUpdater(ObjectWithAtomic.class, "value");
    private volatile int value = 0;
    // ...

    public void method1() {
        // ...
        if (valueUpdater.compareAndSet(this, 1, 2)) {
            // ...
        }
    }
}
```



- » but beware of **less efficient** operations over atomic field updaters
- » AtomicIntegerFieldUpdater:

```
private void fullCheck(T obj) {
    if (!tclass.isInstance(obj))
        throw new ClassCastException();
    if (cclass != null)
        ensureProtectedAccess(obj);
}

public boolean compareAndSet(T obj, int expect, int update) {
    if (obj == null || obj.getClass() != tclass || cclass != null) fullCheck(obj);
    return unsafe.compareAndSwapInt(obj, offset, expect, update);
}
```

- » existing field updaters:
 - AtomicIntegerFieldUpdater
 - AtomicLongFieldUpdater
 - AtomicReferenceFieldUpdater
- » no array field updater exists



- » AtomicMarkableReference:
 - **object reference** along with a **mark bit**

- » AtomicStampedReference:
 - **object reference** along with an **integer “stamp”**

```
public class AtomicStampedReference<V> {  
  
    private static class Pair<T> {  
        final T reference;  
        final int stamp;  
        private Pair(T reference, int stamp) {  
            this.reference = reference;  
            this.stamp = stamp;  
        }  
        static <T> Pair<T> of(T reference, int stamp) {  
            return new Pair<T>(reference, stamp);  
        }  
    }  
  
    private volatile Pair<V> pair;  
  
    public boolean compareAndSet(V expectedReference,  
                                V newReference,  
                                int expectedStamp,  
                                int newStamp) {  
        Pair<V> current = pair;  
        return  
            expectedReference == current.reference &&  
            expectedStamp == current.stamp &&  
            ((newReference == current.reference &&  
              newStamp == current.stamp) ||  
             casPair(current, Pair.of(newReference, newStamp)));  
    }  
}
```



- » AtomicMarkableReference:
 - **object reference** along with a **mark bit**

- » AtomicStampedReference:
 - **object reference** along with an **integer “stamp”**

- » notes:
 - useful for ABA problem
 - A -> B and B -> A, how can I know that A has been changed since the last observation?
 - doesn't use double-wide CAS (CAS2, CASX) -> much slower than simple atomic types due to **object allocation**



- » **lock-free**, wait-free, based on CAS instructions

- » shared resources secured by locks:
 - high-priority thread can be blocked (e.g. interrupt handler)
 - parallelism reduced by coarse-grained locking (unfair locks)
 - fine-grained locking and fair locks increases overhead
 - can lead to deadlocks, priority inversion (low-priority thread holds a shared resource which is required by high-priority thread)

- » **non-blocking algorithms properties:**
 - outperform blocking algorithms because most of CAS succeeds on the first try
 - removes cost for synchronization, thread suspension, context switching

- » note: required for real-time systems



» based on Treiber's algorithm (1986)

```
static class Node<E> {
    final E item;
    Node<E> next;

    public Node(E item) { this.item = item; }
}

AtomicReference<Node<E>> head = new AtomicReference<Node<E>>();

public void push(E item) {
    Node<E> newHead = new Node<E>(item);
    Node<E> oldHead;
    do {
        oldHead = head.get();
        newHead.next = oldHead;
    } while (!head.compareAndSet(oldHead, newHead));
}

public E pop() {
    Node<E> oldHead;
    Node<E> newHead;
    do {
        oldHead = head.get();
        if (oldHead == null)
            return null;
        newHead = oldHead.next;
    } while (!head.compareAndSet(oldHead, newHead));
    return oldHead.item;
}
```



» blocking variants:

- `static<T> Collection<T> Collections.synchronizedCollection(Collection<T> c)`
- `static<T> List<T> Collections.synchronizedList(List<T> list)`
- `static<K,V> Map<K,V> Collections.synchronizedMap(Map<K,V> m)`
- `static<T> Set<T> Collections.synchronizedSet(Set<T> s)`
- also for `SortedSet` and `SortedMap`

» non-blocking variants:

- `ConcurrentLinkedQueue` (interface `Collection`, `Queue`):
 - `E peek()`, `E poll()`, `add(E)`
- `ConcurrentHashMap` (interface `Map`):
 - `putIfAbsent(K key, V value)`, `remove(Object key, Object value)`
 - `replace(K key, V oldValue, V newValue)`
- `ConcurrentSkipListMap` (interface `SortedMap`), `ConcurrentSkipListSet` (interface `SortedSet`)