

Extensive-form games

Ondřej Kubíček

Artificial Intelligence Center Faculty of Electrical Engineering Czech Technical University in Prague

October 17, 2023



- Making decisions sequentially is more often associated with games.
- Pure strategy in sequential game needs to reflect all possible situations we can encounter in game.
- Pure strategy has to assign single action to each situation that can happen.
- Exponential growth of pure strategies based on the size of the game.



- Deep Blue Chess (1997)
- AlphaGo Go (2017)
- Deepstack and Libratus Poker (2017)
- OpenAl Five DotA II (2019)
- AlphaStar Starcraft II (2019)
- DeepNash Stratego (2022)
- Cicero Diplomacy (2022)



Using a tree structure seems more natural for these types of sequential problems. This representation is called extensive-form game.



Extensive-form game



Extensive-from game (EFG) is defined by:

- Player set $\mathcal{N} = \{1, \dots, n\}$
- Actions $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \mathcal{A}_i$
- Decision nodes (histories) ${\cal H}$
- Terminal nodes ${\mathcal Z}$
- Player function $N: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$
- Action function $A: \mathcal{H} \to 2^{\mathcal{A}}$
- Transition function $\mathcal{T}:\mathcal{H}\times\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{H}\cup\mathcal{Z}$
- Utility function $u: \mathcal{Z} \to \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{N}|}$

A pure strategy of player *i* in EFG is assignment of single action for each decision node, in which player *i* acts

$$\mathcal{S}_i := \bigotimes_{h \in \mathcal{H}, N(h) = i} A(h)$$





What are the actions and pure strategies in this game?



Example





 $\mathcal{A}_1 = \{0, 1, 2\}, \mathcal{S}_1 = \{(0), (1), (2)\}$ $\mathcal{A}_2 = \{\text{no, yes}\}, \mathcal{S}_2 = \{(\text{no, no, no}), (\text{no, no, yes}), \dots (\text{yes, yes, yes})\}, |\mathcal{S}_2| = 8$ Note that in each decision node y_1, y_2, y_3 the decisions are made separately.

Differentiating actions



We often use different name for these different actions, so that we distinguish between them.



$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_2 &= \{\mathsf{no}_0, \mathsf{yes}_0, \mathsf{no}_1, \mathsf{yes}_1, \mathsf{no}_2, \mathsf{yes}_2\}, \\ \mathcal{S}_2 &= \{(\mathsf{no}_0, \mathsf{no}_1, \mathsf{no}_2), (\mathsf{no}_0, \mathsf{no}_1, \mathsf{yes}_2), \dots (\mathsf{yes}_1, \mathsf{yes}_2, \mathsf{yes}_2)\} \end{aligned}$$

Converting EFG to NFG





_

Α

 y_1 y_1 y_2 y_2 y_2 y_2 y_2 y_1 y_2 y_1 y_2 y_2

	$oldsymbol{e},oldsymbol{g}$	e,h	<i>f</i> , <i>g</i>	f,h
<i>A</i> , <i>C</i>	3, 8	3,8	8,3	8,3
A, D	3, 8	3,8	8,3	8,3
<i>B</i> , <i>C</i>	5, 5	2, 10	5, 5	2, 10
B, D	5, 5	1, 0	5, 5	1, 0

Converting EFG to NFG



Rationality of Nash equilibria in EFG



- Some Nash equillibria in Extensive-form games do not have to be rational in all parts of the game tree independently.
- Player may choose irrational actions in parts of the tree, that are outside of the parts, where the Nash equilibrium plays.
- We can use some refinement of the Nash equilibrium, that ensures this rationality in all decision points.
- In EFGs with perfect information this refinement is called **Subgame perfect** equilibrium.
- It can be found algorithmically, by traversing the game tree from bottom and always choosing the action that yields the highest expected utility to each player.
- This algorithms is called Backward Induction, but in two-player zero-sum games with perfect information it is known as minimax.

FEE CTU Extensive-form games

Imperfect Information EFGs



- Player set $\mathcal{N} = \{1, \dots, n\} \cup \mathbf{C}$
- Actions $\mathcal{A} = \bigcup_{i \in \mathcal{N}} \mathcal{A}_i$
- Decision nodes (histories) ${\cal H}$
- $\bullet \ \, \text{Terminal nodes} \ \, \mathcal{Z}$
- Player function $N: \mathcal{H} \rightarrow \mathcal{N}$
- Information sets $\mathcal{I} = (\mathcal{I}_1, \dots, \mathcal{I}_n)$, h, h' belong to the same infoset $I_i \in \mathcal{I}_i$ of player i, if it cannot distinguish between them
- Action function $A : \mathcal{H} \to 2^{\mathcal{A}}$, Since player *i* cannot distinguish between histories in a same infoset I_i , it requires same available actions in each of those histories. We often use $A(I_i) := A(h)$
- Transition function $\mathcal{T}:\mathcal{H}\times\mathcal{A}\to\mathcal{H}\cup\mathcal{Z}$
- Utility function $u: \mathcal{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{|\mathcal{N}|}$

FEE CTU Extensive-form games





- Chance player can be viewed as an another player in a game that has fixed unchangable policy throughout the game, known to all the other players.
- In this case the transition function for chance player is defined analogously as for all the other players.
- Second equivalent way is to define a separate transition function, that is exclusive to the chance player and is publicly known by all the players.
- This does not mean that the outcome of chance is known to all the players.
- Imagine Poker as an example, the probability of dealing a card is known, but all players do not observe which card was dealt.

Example of Imperfect Information EFG





Example of Imperfect Information EFG





 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A}_1 &= \{0, 1, 2\}, \mathcal{S}_1 = \{(0), (1), (2)\} \\ \mathcal{A}_2 &= \{\mathsf{no}, \mathsf{yes}\}, \mathcal{S}_2 = \{(\mathsf{no}), (\mathsf{yes})\} \end{aligned}$

Nash Equilibria in Imperfect Information EFGs 🔊 Alecenter



	r	р	S
R	0	-1	1
Ρ	1	0	-1
S	-1	1	0

- Imperfect information games do not have to contain pure Nash equillibria as evidenced by the Rock-Paper-Scissors example.
- Every finite game can be represented as an imperfect information EFG.



- Mixed strategy is a probability distribution between all pure strategies.
- In games it is more natural to think about strategies independently in each decision point.
- These strategies are called Behavioral strategies
- Behavior strategy is a mapping $\pi : \mathcal{I} \to \Delta A(h)$
- In some games, the behavioral strategy and mixed strategy do not coincide.

Example



- Mixed strategy is a probability distribution on pure strategies.
- Playing mixed strategy corresponds to selecting a single pure strategy at the beginning of the game bsaed on the corresponding probabilities
- Playing mixed strategy p(A) = p(B) = 0.5, results in expected value $0.5 \cdot 1 + 0.5 \cdot 0 = 0.5$
- Behavioral strategy gives for each infoset probability distribution across the available actions.
- Playing behavioral strategy corresponds to selecting a single action when facing a decision in some decision node based on the corresponding probabilities
- Playing behavioral strategy $\pi(l_1, A) = \pi(l_1, B) = 0.5$, results in expected value $0.5 \cdot 0 + 0.5 \cdot (0.5 \cdot 1 + 0.5 \cdot 4) = 1.25$.



FEE CTU



- No player forgets any information throughout the game.
- For any two histories h, h' ∈ I_i, that were formed with trajectories h₀a₀...a_nh and h'₀a'₀...a'_mh' it has to hold
 - *n* = *m*
 - for all $0 \leq j \leq n$, h_j and h'_j are in the same infoset
 - for all $0 \leq j \leq n$ if $N(h_j) = i$, then $a_j = a'_j$
- This is a standard assumption, required by most of the algorithms that solve imperfect information games





- Extensive-form is a more natural representation of sequential games.
- In perfect information EFG, there is at least one pure Nash equilibrium.
- Sequentially rational refinement of Nash equilibrium, subgame perfect equilibrium can be found with single traversal of the tree from bottom.
- Every finite game can be represented as an imperfect information EFG
- Imperfect information EFGs do not have to contain pure Nash equilibrium.
- Behavioral strategies in imperfect information EFGs are fundementally different than mixed strategies.
- In games with perfect recall, where neither player forgets any information, behavioral and mixed strategies are the same.