for  $2 \le i \le n$ . Then it will be the case that  $\pi = \mathsf{unrank}(r)$ .

As an example, suppose that n = 4 and r = 10. The factorial representation of

$$1 \cdot 3! + 2 \cdot 2! + 0 \cdot 1!$$

by one, so  $\pi' = [4, 1, 3]$ . Hence, we obtain that  $\pi' = \text{unrank}(4) = [3, 1, 2]$ . Then we increment the first and third elements Hence,  $\pi[1] = d_3 + 1 = 2$ . Now, compute r' = r - 6 = 4. It can be verified

$$unrank(10) = [2, 4, 1, 3].$$

cording to the following rule: In this algorithm, we use a function mod which performs modular reduction ac Algorithm 2.16 is a non-recursive implementation of this unranking algorithm

$$\operatorname{mod}(x,m) = r \Leftrightarrow x \equiv r \operatorname{mod} m \text{ and } 0 \leq r \leq m-1.$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\textbf{Algorithm 2.16: } \text{PERMLEXUNRANK } (n,r) \\ &\pi[n] \leftarrow 1 \\ &\textbf{for } j \leftarrow 1 \textbf{ to } n-1 \\ &d \leftarrow \frac{\text{mod}(r,(j+1)!)}{j!} \\ &d \leftarrow \frac{m}{r}(n-j)! \\ &\pi[n-j] \leftarrow d+1 \\ &\textbf{for } i \leftarrow n-j+1 \textbf{ to } n \\ &\textbf{do} &\begin{cases} \textbf{if } \pi[i] > d \\ \textbf{then } \pi[i] \leftarrow \pi[i]+1 \end{cases} \end{aligned}$$

We illustrate Algorithm 2.16 by recomputing unrank(10). Initially, we set

$$\pi[4] = 1.$$

When j = 1, we compute

$$d = \frac{\text{mod}(10, 2)}{1} = 0,$$

$$\pi[3] = 1$$
 and  $\pi[4] = 2$ .

When j = 2, we have

$$d = \frac{\text{mod}(10, 6)}{2} = 2,$$
  
$$r = 10 - 2 \cdot 2 = 6,$$

and

$$\pi[2] = 3.$$

Finally, when j = 3, we have

$$d = \frac{\text{mod}(6, 24)}{6} = 1,$$

$$r = 6 - 1 \cdot 6 = 0,$$

$$\pi[1] = 2, \pi[2] = 4 \text{ and } \pi[4] = 3.$$

Hence, we obtain

unrank(10) = [2, 4, 1, 3]

as before.

## 2.4.2 Minimal change ordering

single transposition (i.e., by exchanging the elements in the two given positions).  $\pi'$  differ in exactly two positions, then one can be obtained from the other by a  $\pi$  and  $\pi'$  of  $\{1,\ldots,n\}$  must differ in at least two positions. Further, if  $\pi$  and context of permutations. It is certainly the case that any two distinct permutations First we need to give some thought as to what a minimal change would be in the that there exists an integer  $i, 1 \le i \le n-1$ , such that two adjacent elements in order to transform  $\pi$  into  $\pi'$ . This is equivalent to saying It may even happen that the two positions are adjacent; so, we in fact transpose

$$\pi'[j] = \begin{cases} \pi[j+1] & \text{if } j = i \\ \pi[j-1] & \text{if } j = i+1 \\ \pi[j] & \text{if } j \neq i, i+1. \end{cases}$$

This is in fact the definition we will take for a minimal change for permutations.

sively. Suppose we have a listing of the (n-1)! permutations of  $\{1,\ldots,n-1\}$ rithm for generating the n! permutations. It can be most easily described recurin minimal change order, say The Trotter-Johnson algorithm is a nice example of a minimal change algo-

$$\mathsf{T}^{n-1} = [\pi_0, \pi_1, \dots, \pi_{(n-1)!-1}].$$

into the n copies of  $\pi_i$  from the beginning to the end of  $\pi$ . position 1. If i is odd, then we proceed in the opposite order, inserting element nthen after the element in position n-2, etc., and finally preceding the element in If i is even, then we first insert element n after the element in position n-1, insert the element n into each of the n copies of each permutation  $\pi_i$ , as follows. Form a new list by repeating each permutation in the list  $T^{n-1}$  n times. Now

we have We illustrate the procedure for n=1,2,3 and 4. We begin with n=1, where

$$\mathsf{T}^1 = [1].$$

Next, we obtain

$$\mathsf{T}^2 = [[1, 2], [2, 1]].$$