# 3D Computer Vision 

Radim Šára Martin Matoušek<br>Center for Machine Perception<br>Department of Cybernetics Faculty of Electrical Engineering Czech Technical University in Prague<br>https://cw.fel.cvut.cz/wiki/courses/tdv/start<br>http://cmp.felk.cvut.cz<br>mailto:sara@cmp.felk.cvut.cz<br>phone ext. 7203

rev. December 15, 2020


## Open Informatics Master's Course

## - Rectification Homographies

Assumption: Cameras $\left(\mathbf{P}_{1}, \mathbf{P}_{2}\right)$ are rectified by a homography pair $\left(\mathbf{H}_{1}, \mathbf{H}_{2}\right)$ :
rectified entities: $\mathbf{F}^{*}, \stackrel{l}{2}_{*}^{*}, l_{1}^{*}$, etc:

$$
\mathbf{P}_{i}^{*}=\mathbf{H}_{i} \mathbf{P}_{i}=\mathbf{H}_{i} \mathbf{K}_{i} \mathbf{R}_{i}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{C}_{i}
\end{array}\right], \quad i=1,2
$$



- the rectified location difference $d=\frac{u_{1}^{*}}{u_{1}^{*}}$ is called disparity corresponding epipolar lines must be:

1. parallel to image rows $\Rightarrow$ epipoles become $e_{1}^{*}=e_{2}^{*}=(1,0,0)$
2. equivalent $l_{2}^{*} \underline{\underline{N}} l_{1}^{*}: \quad \underline{l}_{1}^{*} \simeq \underline{\mathbf{e}}_{1}^{*} \times \underline{\mathbf{m}}_{1}={\left.\underline{\mathbf{e}}{ }_{1}^{*}\right]_{\times} \underline{\mathbf{m}}_{1}, \underline{l}_{2}^{*} \simeq \underline{\mathbf{F}}^{*} \underline{\mathbf{m}}_{1}} \quad \Rightarrow \quad \mathbf{F}^{*}=\left[\underline{\mathbf{e}}_{1}^{*}\right]_{\times}$

- therefore the canonical fundamental matrix is

$$
\mathbf{F}^{*} \simeq\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & -1 \\
0 & 1 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

A two-step rectification procedure

1. find some pair of primitive rectification homographies $\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{1}, \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{2}$
2. upgrade to a pair of optimal rectification homographies while preserving $\mathbf{F}^{*}$

## -Geometric Interpretation of Linear Rectification

What pair of physical cameras is compatible with $\mathbf{F}^{*}$ ?

- we know that $\mathbf{F}=\left(\mathbf{Q}_{1} \mathbf{Q}_{2}^{-1}\right)^{\top}\left[\mathbf{e}_{1}\right]_{\times}$
- we choose $\mathbf{Q}_{1}^{*}=\mathbf{K}_{1}^{*}, \mathbf{Q}_{2}^{*}=\mathbf{K}_{2}^{*} \mathbf{R}^{*}$; then

$$
\left(\mathbf{Q}_{1}^{*} \mathbf{Q}_{2}^{*-1}\right)^{\top}\left[\underline{\mathbf{e}}_{1}^{*}\right]_{\times}=\left(\mathbf{K}_{1}^{*} \mathbf{R}^{* \top} \mathbf{K}_{2}^{*-1}\right)^{\top} \mathbf{F}^{*}
$$

- we look for $\mathbf{R}^{*}, \mathbf{K}_{1}^{*}, \mathbf{K}_{2}^{*}$ compatible with

$$
\left(\mathbf{K}_{1}^{*} \mathbf{R}^{* \top} \mathbf{K}_{2}^{*-1}\right)^{\top} \mathbf{F}^{*}=\lambda \mathbf{F}^{*}, \quad \mathbf{R}^{*} \mathbf{R}^{* \top}=\mathbf{I}, \quad \mathbf{K}_{1}^{*}, \mathbf{K}_{2}^{*} \text { upper triangular }
$$

- we also want $\mathbf{b}^{*}$ from $\underline{\mathbf{e}}_{1}^{*} \simeq \mathbf{P}_{1}^{*} \underline{\mathbf{C}}_{2}^{*}=\mathbf{K}_{1}^{*} \mathbf{b}^{*}$
$b^{*}$ in cam. 1 frame
- result:

$$
\mathbf{R}^{*}=\mathbf{I}, \quad \mathbf{b}^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{l}
b  \tag{33}\\
0 \\
0
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{K}_{1}^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
k_{11} & k_{12} & k_{13} \\
0 & f & v_{0} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{K}_{2}^{*}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
k_{21} & k_{22} & k_{23} \\
0 & f & v_{0} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right]
$$

- rectified cameras are in canonical relative pose
- rectified calibration matrices can differ in the first row only
- when $\mathbf{K}_{1}^{*}=\mathbf{K}_{2}^{*}$ then the rectified pair is called the standard stereo pair and the homographies standard rectification homographies
- standard rectification homographies: points at infinity have zero disparity

$$
\mathbf{P}_{i}^{*} \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\infty}=\mathbf{K}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{C}_{i}
\end{array}\right] \underline{\mathbf{X}}_{\infty}=\mathbf{K} \mathbf{X}_{\infty} \quad i=1,2
$$

- this does not mean that the images are not distorted after rectification



## Primitive Rectification

Goal: Given fundamental matrix $\mathbf{F}$, derive some simple rectification homographies $\mathbf{H}_{1}, \mathbf{H}_{2}$ 1. Let the $\operatorname{SVD}$ of $\mathbf{F}$ be $\mathbf{U D V}^{\top}=\mathbf{F}$, where $\mathbf{D}=\operatorname{diag}\left(1, d^{2}, 0\right), \quad 1 \geq d^{2}>0$
2. Write $\mathbf{D}$ as $\mathbf{D}=\mathbf{A}^{\top} \mathbf{F}^{*} \mathbf{B}$ for some regular $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$. For instance $\quad\left(\mathbf{F}^{*}\right.$ is given $\left.\rightarrow 156\right)$

$$
\mathbf{A}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & -d & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{B}=\left[\begin{array}{lll}
0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & d & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

3. Then

$$
\mathbf{F}=\mathbf{U D V}^{\top}=\underbrace{\mathbf{U A}^{\top}}_{\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{2}^{\top}} \mathbf{F}^{*} \underbrace{\mathbf{B V}^{\top}}_{\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{1}}
$$

and the primitive rectification homographies are

$$
\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{2}=\mathbf{A U}^{\top}, \quad \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{1}=\mathbf{B V}^{\top}
$$

$\circledast \mathrm{P} 1 ; 1 \mathrm{pt}$ : derive some other admissible $\mathbf{A}, \mathbf{B}$

- rectification homographies do exist $\rightarrow 156$
- there are other primitive rectification homographies, these suggested are just simple to obtain


## - The Set of All Rectification Homographies

Proposition 1 Homographies $\mathbf{A}_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ are rectification-preserving if the images stay rectified, i.e. if $\mathbf{A}_{2}{ }^{-\top} \mathbf{F}^{*} \mathbf{A}_{1}{ }^{-1} \simeq \mathbf{F}^{*}$, which gives

$$
\mathbf{A}_{1}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
l_{1} & l_{2} & l_{3} \\
0 & s_{v} & t_{v} \\
0 & q & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad \mathbf{A}_{2}=\left[\begin{array}{ccc}
r_{1} & r_{2} & r_{3} \\
0 & s_{v} & t_{v} \\
0 & q & 1
\end{array}\right],
$$


where $s_{v} \neq 0, t_{v}, l_{1} \neq 0, l_{2}, l_{3}, r_{1} \neq 0, r_{2}, r_{3}, q$ are 9 free parameters.

| general | transformation |  | standard |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $l_{1}, r_{1}$ | horizontal scales |  |  |
| $l_{2}, r_{2}$ | horizontal shears |  |  |
| $l_{3}, r_{3}$ | horizontal shifts |  |  |
| $q$ | common special projective |  |  |
| $l_{2}=r_{1}$ |  |  |  |
| $l_{3}=r_{3}$ |  |  |  |

- $q$ is due to a rotation about the baseline
- $s_{v}$ changes the focal length
proof: find a rotation $\mathbf{G}$ that brings $\mathbf{K}$ to upper triangular form via $R Q$ decomposition: $\mathbf{A}_{1} \mathbf{K}_{1}^{*}=\hat{\mathbf{K}}_{1} \mathbf{G}$ and $\mathbf{A}_{2} \mathbf{K}_{2}^{*}=\hat{\mathbf{K}}_{2} \mathbf{G}$


## The Rectification Group

Corollary for Proposition 1 Let $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{1}$ and $\overline{\mathbf{H}}_{2}$ be (primitive or other) rectification homographies. Then $\mathbf{H}_{1}=\mathbf{A}_{1} \overline{\mathbf{H}}_{1}, \quad \mathbf{H}_{2}=\mathbf{A}_{2} \overline{\mathbf{H}}_{2}$ are also rectification homographies.

Proposition 2 Pairs of rectification-preserving homographies $\left(\mathbf{A}_{1}, \mathbf{A}_{2}\right)$ form a group with group operation $\left(\mathbf{A}_{1}^{\prime}, \mathbf{A}_{2}^{\prime}\right) \circ\left(\mathbf{A}_{1}, \mathbf{A}_{2}\right)=\left(\mathbf{A}_{1}^{\prime} \mathbf{A}_{1}, \mathbf{A}_{2}^{\prime} \mathbf{A}_{2}\right)$.
Proof:

- closure by Proposition 1
- associativity by matrix multiplication
- identity belongs to the set
- inverse element belongs to the set by $\mathbf{A}_{2}^{\top} \mathbf{F}^{*} \mathbf{A}_{1} \simeq \mathbf{F}^{*} \Leftrightarrow \mathbf{F}^{*} \simeq \mathbf{A}_{2}^{-\top} \mathbf{F}^{*} \mathbf{A}_{1}^{-1}$


## -Primitive Rectification Suffices for Calibrated Cameras

Obs: calibrated cameras: $d=1 \Rightarrow \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{1}, \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{2}(\rightarrow 158)$ are orthonormal

1. determine primitive rectification homographies $\left(\hat{\mathbf{H}}_{1}, \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{2}\right)$ from the essential matrix
2. choose a suitable common calibration matrix $\mathbf{K}$, e.g.

$$
\mathbf{K}=\left[\begin{array}{llc}
f & 0 & u_{0} \\
0 & f & v_{0} \\
0 & 0 & 1
\end{array}\right], \quad f=\frac{1}{2}\left(f^{1}+f^{2}\right), \quad u_{0}=\frac{1}{2}\left(u_{0}^{1}+u_{0}^{2}\right), \quad \text { etc. } \quad \approx f
$$

3. the final rectification homographies applied as $\mathbf{P}_{i} \mapsto \mathbf{H}_{i} \mathbf{P}_{i}$ are

$$
\mathbf{H}_{1}=\mathbf{K} \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{1} \mathbf{K}_{1}^{-1}, \quad \mathbf{H}_{2}=\mathbf{K} \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{2} \mathbf{K}_{2}^{-1}
$$



- we got a standard stereo pair $(\rightarrow 157)$ and non-negative disparity: let $\mathbf{K}_{i}^{-1} \mathbf{P}_{i}=\mathbf{R}_{i}\left[\begin{array}{ll}\mathbf{I} & \left.-\mathbf{C}_{i}\right], \quad i=1,2 \quad \text { note we started from } \mathbf{E} \text {, not } \mathbf{F}\end{array}\right.$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathbf{H}_{1} \mathbf{P}_{1}=\mathbf{K} \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{1} \mathbf{K}_{1}^{-1} \mathbf{P}_{1}=\mathbf{K} \underbrace{\mathbf{B V ^ { \top }} \mathbf{R}_{1}}_{\mathbf{R}^{*}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{C}_{1}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{K} \mathbf{R}^{*}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{C}_{1}
\end{array}\right] \\
& \mathbf{H}_{2} \mathbf{P}_{2}=\mathbf{K} \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{2} \mathbf{K}_{2}^{-1} \mathbf{P}_{2}=\mathbf{K} \underbrace{\mathbf{A U}^{\top} \mathbf{R}_{2}}_{\mathbf{R}^{*}}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{C}_{2}
\end{array}\right]=\mathbf{K} \mathbf{R}^{*}\left[\begin{array}{ll}
\mathbf{I} & -\mathbf{C}_{2}
\end{array}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

- one can prove that $\mathbf{B} \mathbf{V}^{\top} \mathbf{R}_{1}=\mathbf{A} \mathbf{U}^{\top} \mathbf{R}_{2}$ with the help of essential matrix decomposition (13)
- points at infinity project by $\mathbf{K R}^{*}$ in both cameras $\Rightarrow$ they have zero disparity


## -Summary \& Remarks: Linear Rectification

standard rectification homographies reproject onto a common image plane parallel to the baseline


- rectification is done with a pair of homographies (one per image)
$\Rightarrow$ rectified camera centers are equal to the original ones
- binocular rectification: a 9-parameter family of rectification homographies

$\bigcirc$trinocular rectification: has 9 or 6 free parameters (depending on additional constrains) in general, linear rectification is not possible for more than three cameras

- rectified cameras are in canonical orientation
$\Rightarrow$ rectified image projection planes are coplanar
- equal rectified calibration matrices give standard rectification
$\Rightarrow$ rectified image projection planes are equal
- primitive rectification is standard in calibrated cameras
- known $\mathbf{F}$ used alone does not allow standardization of rectification homographies
- for that we need either of these:

1. projection matrices, or calibrated cameras, or
2. $\mathcal{P}$ few points at infinity calibrating $k_{1 i}, k_{2 i}, i=1,2,3$ in (33)

## Optimal and Non-linear Rectification

## Optimal choice for the free parameters

- by minimization of residual image distortion, eg. [Gluckman \& Nayar 2001]

$$
\mathbf{A}_{1}^{*}=\arg \min _{\mathbf{A}_{1}} \iint_{\Omega}\left(\operatorname{det} J\left(\mathbf{A}_{1} \hat{\mathbf{H}}_{1} \underline{\mathbf{x}}\right)-1\right)^{2} d \mathbf{x}
$$

- by minimization of image information loss [Matoušek, ICIG 2004]
- non-linear rectification suitable for forward motion non-parametric: [Pollefeys et al. 1999] analytic: [Geyer \& Daniilidis 2003]

rectified images, Pollefeys' method


## －Binocular Disparity in Standard Stereo Pair


－Assumptions：single image line，standard camera pair

$$
\begin{aligned}
b & =z \cot \alpha_{1}-z \cot \alpha_{2} \\
u_{1} & =f \cot \alpha_{1} \\
b & =\frac{b}{2}+x-z \cot \alpha_{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
X=(x, y, z) \text { from disparity } d=u_{1}-u_{2}:
$$

$$
z=\frac{b f}{d} \quad x=\frac{b}{d} \frac{u_{1}+u_{2}}{2}, \quad y=\frac{b v}{d}
$$

$$
f, d, u, v \text { in pixels, } b, x, y, z \text { in meters }
$$

## Observations

－constant disparity surface is a frontoparallel plane

－distant points have small disparity
relative error in $z$ is large for small disparity

$$
\frac{1}{z} \frac{\mathrm{~d} z}{\mathrm{~d} d}=-\frac{1}{d}
$$

increasing the baseline or the focal length
increases disparity and reduces the error

## Structural Ambiguity in Stereovision

- suppose we can recognize local matches independently but have no scene model
- lack of an occlusion model $\Rightarrow$ structural ambiguity in the presence of
- lack of a continuity model $\quad \rightarrow$ repetitions (or lack of texture)



## Understanding Basic Occlusion Txpes <br>  <br> $C_{2}^{\prime}$ <br> half occlusion <br> mutual occlusion

－surface point at the intersection of rays $l$ and $r_{1}$ occludes a world point at the intersection $\left(l, r_{3}\right)$ and implies the world point $\left(l, r_{2}\right)$ is transparent，therefore

$$
\left(l, r_{3}\right) \text { and }\left(l, r_{2}\right) \text { are excluded by }\left(l, r_{1}\right)
$$

－in half－occlusion，every world point such as $X_{1}$ or $X_{2}$ is excluded by a binocularly visible surface point such as $Y_{1}, Y_{2}, Y_{3} \quad \Rightarrow$ decisions on correspondences are not independent －in mutual occlusion this is no longer the case：any $X$ in the yellow zone is not excluded
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