Integration of Applications, Web Services

Petr Aubrecht (Martin Ledvinka)

aubrecht@asoftware.cz

Winter Term 2020



Petr Aubrecht (Martin Ledvinka) (aubrecht@: Integration of Applications, Web Services

Contents

1 Why?

2 Approaches

- Low-level
- Platform-specific
- Platform-independent

3 Architectures







Why?



What's Wrong - Reliability

- Business loses millions of dollars every minute the server is down.
- Have you ever tried to run server? How much downtime did you have?
- Critical systems need 99.999 % reliability = 5 minutes/year.
- Examples of failure: "České spořitelně v sobotu několik hodin nefungovalo internetové bankovnictví."
- Amazon cloud 2017:

https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Timeline_of_ Amazon_Web_Services#Amazon_Web_Services_outages

- Solution: Backup systems
- Problem: double/triple price, same performance



What's Wrong - Scaling

- Hardware doesn't scale well
- RAM scaling:
 - 16 GB CZK 1.839
 - 32 GB CZK 4.819
 - 64 GB CZK 12.090
 - 128 GB is the highest capacity of RAM module available for enterprise (DDR 4) cost \$4,054.93, 6 RAM slots, e.g. 768 GB/ per machine
 - 1 TB ??? How? Mainframe? Great for very expensive customers.
- The same problem is with disks (RAID helps a bit), CPU...



Solution - Horizontal Scaling

- Let's use backup system to cooperate on processing data!
- Let's have multiple **cheap** computers, where price of 1 TB RAM $= 16 \times 64$ GB, CZK 193.440 (compare to 128 GB, \$4.000)
- Similar approach as RAID (Redundant Array of Inexpensive Disks)
- How to distribute the tasks?



Distributed Systems

Distributed (fault tolerant) systems

- Able to process requests concurrently
- Scalable
- Can handle faults, only decrease performance

Caveats

- Less predictable
- More complex
- More difficult to secure
- Effort to manage the system




Approaches



Low-level

File

- Applications exchange data by writing into a shared file
- Pipeline processing
- \Rightarrow Local system
- Problems: format, schema, scalability, concurrency, notifications





Database

- Applications share database, possibly use different views of the same database
- No integration layer needed, application data always up to date
- Problems: schema (general or complex), schema evolution, notifications




Figure : Applications using shared database.




Java RMI

- Remote Method Invocation
- Object-oriented equivalent of remote procedure call (see later)
- Java-specific technology for distributed systems
- Java Remote Method Protocol
 - Wire-level protocol (application layer) on top of TCP
 - Binary
- RMI supports primitive types and Serializable



Java RMI

- Client invokes methods of a *remote interface* on a local *stub*
 - Stub is a RMI-generated *proxy* object representing the remote implementation
- Server implements *remote interface* to export methods which can be called remotely
- RMI registry
 - Server registers at RMI registry as a provider of remote objects
 - Client uses RMI registry to look up remote objects



RMI Alternatives

Similar technologies exist for

- Python RPyC
- Ruby Distributed Ruby
- Erlang built into the language itself



RPC

- Remote Procedure Call
- Invocation of subroutine in a different address space (usually a different computer)
- Client-server architecture
- Typically synchronous

XML-RPC

- Standard for remote procedure call using XML as message format
- Platform independent
- Over HTTP



XML-RPC Example

Request

Response

```
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<methodResponse>
    <params>
        <param>
            <value><string>South Dakota</string></value>
        </param>
        </params>
        </params>
        </methodResponse>
```

XML-RPC - Try it Yourself

- Oownload/clone a simplistic XML-RPC server implementation from https://gitlab.fel.cvut.cz/ear/xmlrpcserver
- Start the server using mvn package exec:java
- Open Postman or other HTTP client
- Send a POST request to http://localhost:8080 with body

```
<?xml version="1.0"?>
<methodCall>
   <methodName>EarServer.hello</methodName>
   <params>
        <param>
        <value><string>Master Chief</string></value>
        </param>
        </params>
        </methodCall>
```



CORBA

- Common Object Request Broker Architecture
- OMG standard for language and platform-independent distributed computing architecture
- Similar to RPC but object-oriented
- Transparent location client is unaware whether invocation is local or remote
 - Also a caveat local invocation cannot be optimized and has to go through the whole ORB machinery
- Standards for interface definition, communication protocols, location



CORBA – Concepts

Interface Definition Language (IDL)

- Standardized language for specification of interface provided by an object
- Mappings for IDL exist in all major programming languages
- Used to generate Stub/Skeleton code


Object Request Broker (ORB)

- Middleware allowing transparent local and remote invocation
- Handles data serialization/deserialization based on IDL
- Knows location of the actual service implementation
- Is able to handle, e.g., transactions

CORBA – Concepts

General InterORB Protocol – GIOP

- Protocol for communications between ORBs
- Best known (and most often used) is IIOP (Internet InterORB Protocol) which uses TCP/IP
- Other versions exist, e.g., HTIOP, SSLIOP



CORBA - IDL Interface Example

```
module HelloApp {
    interface Hello {
    string sayHello();
    oneway void shutdown();
    };
};
```



CORBA - Java Implementation Example

```
class HelloImpl extends HelloPOA {
 private ORB orb;
 public void setORB(ORB orb_val) {
  orb = orb val;
 public String sayHello() {
   return "\nHello world !!\n";
 public void shutdown() {
   orb.shutdown(false);
```



Platform-independent

What is a web service?

A Web service is a software system designed to support interoperable machine-to-machine interaction over a network.

— W3C, Web Services Glossary

We can identify two major classes of Web services:

- REST-compliant Web services, in which the primary purpose of the service is to manipulate XML representations of Web resources using a uniform set of "stateless" operations; and
- arbitrary Web services, in which the service may expose an arbitrary set of operations.

- W3C, Web Services Architecture (2004)

- Simple Object Access Protocol
- Standard protocol for web service communication
- Combo SOAP + WSDL + UDDI
- XML-based
- In contrast to CORBA:
 - Universal, no language binding (IDL) required
 - XML-based (CORBA protocols binary)
 - Stateless
 - Possibly asynchronous



WSDL

- Web Service Description Language
- XML-based description of web service interface
- Clients know how to communicate with web service based on WSDL description
 - No generated skeleton or stub needed

UDDI

- Universal Description, Discovery and Integration
- Universal register of WSDL descriptions of SOAP web services
- Simplifies web service discovery

SOAP

- XML-based protocol
- Messages consist of:
 - *Envelope* single per request/response
 - (Optional) header additional information, e.g., timeout, security
 - Body data
 - (Optional) Fault error handling
- Over HTTP POST
- Caveats:
 - Verbosity and slow parsing of XML
 - Client-server interaction model (one is always client, the other is always client)
 - Complex structure





Figure : SOAP+WSDL+UDDI. Source:

http://www.wst.univie.ac.at/workgroups/sem-nessi/index.php?t=
semanticweb





DEMO

- Create class
- @WebService
- Try in tester
- Try in Java/NetBeans



Architectures



General Remarks

Different characteristics of architectures

- Vertical distribution
 - Distribution of logical levels of the system
- Horizontal distribution
 - Distribution of clients and servers
- Temporal distribution
 - Communication is synchronous or asynchronous?



Client-Server vs. Distributed Objects

Client-Server

- Clients and servers are treated differently
- Servers process requests, provide functionality
- Clients make requests, consume functionality
- Example: SOAP, REST, HTTP

Distributed Objects

- Objects are equivalent, can call each other
- Example: Java RMI, CORBA



Vertical Distribution

N-tier Architecture

- Layers are distributed between processes, can be distributed between machines as well
- Examples
 - Single-tier terminal/mainframe configuration
 - *Two-tier* client + server
 - Three-tier typical, separate client, server application and database





Figure : Source:

https://managementmania.com/en/three-tier-architecture

Petr Aubrecht (Martin Ledvinka) (aubrecht@: Integration of Applications, Web Services



Services

Service Oriented Architecture (SOA)

- System is split into self-contained separate units services
- Services use each other to provide functionality
- Services can be developed separately, use different technologies, be removed or replaced without affecting the system as a whole
- NOT to confuse with Web Services
- Example: SSO, text analysis service

Microservices

- No precise definition exists, for some it is a more advanced (purer) implementation of SOA
- Software units communicating over lightweight mechanisms (HTTP), deployed using automated machinery and DevOps

Communication in SOA

Enterprise Service Bus (ESB)

- ESB is a middleware
- Indirection in service communication decoupling, routing, synchronous or asynchronous communication
- May support multiple protocols SOAP, REST
- Simple or Advanced
 - Simple RabbitMQ, Apache Kafka, Apache ActiveMQ
 - Advanced Oracle, IBM, Microsoft

Smart Services and Dumb Pipes

- Microservices decentralized orchestration, often peer to peer
 - Each service may have configuration of other possible services it can use
- Or single service registry

```
Peer to Peer (P2P)
```

- Decentralized architecture where nodes function as servers and clients
- Content distribution, sharing, grid computing
- Types
 - Unstructured no central node, peers discover each other (each peer starts with a few possible connections and builds a list of other peers)
 - Structured network has a topology, more efficient peer discovery
 - *Hybrid* combination of P2P and client/server usually server helps clients discover other peers, search etc.



Architectures

P2P




Figure: Source: https://www.researchgate.net/figure/ Blockchain-P2P-Network_fig1_320127088



Conclusions



Conclusions

- Most of today's applications are distributed
 - At least tiered backend and frontend separate
- Most applications are integrated using web services
- Services allow to build systems from independent modules

Coming Next Week

- HTTP
- Currently most popular Web service architecture REST



Conclusions

The End

Thank You



Petr Aubrecht (Martin Ledvinka) (aubrecht@ Integration of Applications, Web Service

Resources

- https://martinfowler.com/bliki/IntegrationDatabase.html
- M. Fowler: Patterns of Enterprise Application Architecture
- http://xmlrpc.scripting.com/spec.html
- http://www.corba.org/
- K. Richta: Standardy pro webové služby WSDL, UDDI
 - https://www.ksi.mff.cuni.cz/~richta/publications/ Richta-MD-2003.pdf
- https://www.slideshare.net/PeterREgli/soap-wsdl-uddi
- http://www.aqualab.cs.northwestern.edu/component/ attachments/download/228
- https://ifs.host.cs.st-andrews.ac.uk/Books/SE7/ Presentations/PDF/ch12.pdf
- https://www.ibm.com/support/knowledgecenter/en/SSMQ79_9.5. 1/com.ibm.egl.pg.doc/topics/pegl_serv_overview.html
- https://martinfowler.com/articles/microservices.html

